Mr. M. SUBBAIYA.—Is age also taken into consideration in making these selections?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Yes, as stated already.

Mr. M. SUBBAIYA.—Have not people above that age been appointed?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Not ordinarily. If it has been done in any case, it must have been for special reasons.

Mr. M. SUBBAIYA.—What are they?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—It is not advisable to discuss that question here. But I may say that such cases are very rare.

Mr. M. SUBBAIYA.—Can Government ignore the age limit?

The FIRST MEMBER (Rajamantrapravina S. P. Rajagopalachari).—Government have every discretion to do so in deserving cases.

Mr. M. SUBBAIYA.—Then why fix any age limit at all?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—That is the general rule.

Mr. M. SUBBAIYA.—Will not others forgo their chances as a result of such exceptions?

Mr. S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI.—Every rule may have its exceptions. But, as stated already, such cases are very rare.

Mr. Bhupalam R. CHANDRASEKHARAYYA.—Is it not desirable to make selections only on the basis of competitive examinations?

Mr. S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI.—No.

Rao Sahib M. RAMASWAMI.—Why is it that no candidate from the Adikarnataka community, which is a majority community with a population of 10½ lakhs, has been selected as Probationer for the past 4 or 5 years?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Selection has been made from that community in the past. But among the depressed classes, this is not the only community. There are Voddars, Banajars and others. They also had to be given a chance.

Mr. M. RAMASWAMI.—At least in future, will that community be given special consideration?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—The Adikarnataka community has been always enjoying special treatment at the hands of Government.

Resumption of Lands in Kudalguppe Village, Krishnarajpet Taluk.

Q.—24. Mr. K. L. NANJAPPA NADIG (Krishnarajpet Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the total extent of wet lands resumed to Government in Kudalguppe village, Bukinkere Hobli, Krishnarajpet Taluk; their survey numbers; from what year have they been lying fallow;

(*b*) whether it has come to their notice that the raiyats cultivating these lands have paid year after year the kandayam due and obtained receipts for such payments;

(*c*) whether it has been published in the *Mysore Gazette* that these wet lands resumed to Government would be submerged under the Krishnaraj Sagar; if so, when;

(*d*) whether it is a fact that the owners of these lands have presented petitions to the Land Acquisition Officer, Krishnaraj Sagar, praying for payment of compensation;

(*e*) the reason for non-payment of compensation till now?

A.—The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. B. T. Kesavaiengar).—

(*a*) 167 acres. List of survey numbers showing the year or date from which they are lying fallow is appended. (*Vide* Appendix.)

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) No.

(*d*) Yes.

(*e*) The lands having been resumed to Government for land revenue dues and *khates* not having been restored, the question of payment of compensation does not arise.

Mr. K. L. NANJAPPA NADIG.—With reference to answer to (*a*), what is the area of the land which will be submerged as a result of raising the dam to a height of 124 feet?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Notice.

Mr. K. L. NANJAPPA NADIG.—With reference to answer to (*b*), has the entire

amount collected in the shape of assessment been paid into the Government treasury?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—It must have been paid. Otherwise it would be misappropriation of Government money.

Mr. K. L. NANJAPPA NADIG.—If the kandayam has been paid, why is it that the lands are lying uncultivated?

The DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, MYSORE DISTRICT (Mr. M. G. Mehkri).—Recently it has come to the notice of Government that kandayam has been collected. The parties have been called upon to produce receipts and the shanbhog has been placed under suspension. If the receipts are produced in support of the alleged payments, the *khate* will be restored and necessary action taken in the matter of compensation also. So the whole question is being enquired into.

Mr. K. L. NANJAPPA NADIG.—If it is discovered as a result of the investigation that kandayam has been paid by the raiyats but not remitted to the treasury by the village officers, will the raiyats be made to pay it once again?

The FIRST MEMBER (Rajamantrapravina S. P. Rajagopalachari).—It is too early to say anything at this stage because Government are not in possession of full information. The Deputy Commissioner will enquire into the matter and take necessary steps.

Mr. K. L. NANJAPPA NADIG.—There is no doubt that compensation will be given to those whose payments towards kandayam could be verified with reference to the treasury accounts. But what about those who have paid but whose amounts may not have been remitted to the treasury?

Mr. S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI.—Suitable relief will be given in all cases, provided payment is proved.

Remissions.

Q.—26. **Mr. B. L. HUTCHAPPA** (Channarayapatna Taluk) and all the other members of Hassan District.—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of petitions that have been received by Government representing that no wet crop has been raised below tanks in our State;

(*b*) whether remission has been sanctioned to all the raiyats below the tank who petitioned for remission;

(*c*) the reason for granting remission in some cases and denying in others;

(*d*) whether it has come to their notice that neither remissions nor endorsements have been granted in some cases;

(*e*) the reply that has been given by Government now in respect of petitions other than those that have been granted either remissions or endorsements?

A.—**The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. B. T. Kesavaiengar).**—

(*a*), (*b*) and (*c*) A large number of petitions were received. Remissions have been sanctioned in all cases in which according to rules they were admissible and a few more cases are being examined.

(*d*) No.

(*e*) The question is not clear.

Mr. B. L. HUTCHAPPA.—Is it not a fact that in many cases remission has not been given?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—If such cases are brought to the notice of the Deputy Commissioners concerned, they will do the needful in the matter.

Mr. H. KRISHNAMURTHY ACHAR.—With reference to answer (*e*), may I know the mode of disposal of petitions from parties who have been given neither remission nor endorsements?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—I do not know what cases the member is thinking of. I can only say that pending cases will be disposed of as early as possible.

Mr. H. KRISHNAMURTHY ACHAR.—How long will it take to dispose them of?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Orders will be issued that they should be disposed of very early.

Mr. G. SHANKARA SETTY.—In every case, the Amildar makes the necessary enquiries and sends up to the Deputy Commissioner his recommendation